## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Examiner is thanked for the thorough examination of the present application. Applicant has carefully considered the examiner's opinion and thereby made an amendment to the claims. Claims 1-9 and 11-16 remain in this application and no new matter is entered to any of the remaining claims. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration for at least the reasons set forth herein.

## Response to the claim rejections:

10

5

Claims 1-8, 13 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vegt (U.S. Patent No. 6,038,433). This rejection is respectfully traversed with respect to the amendments to the independent claims 1 and 5.

15

20

25

Applicant asserts that the amended claim 1 is patentable over Vegt because Vegt at least fails to disclose a combination of the following limitations: "scanning a plurality of frequency bands; and analyzing at least one of the frequency bands to determine if the frequency band holds a received signal, if it does: detecting a frequency response of the received signal, comprising: detecting energy magnitudes of the received signal corresponding to a plurality of frequencies; generating an averaging result according to the energy magnitudes; and acquiring the frequency response of the received signal according to the averaging result; and detecting a characteristic of a channel according to the frequency response of the received signal; wherein the received signal corresponds to the channel." Applicant also asserts that the amended claim 1 is patentable over Vegt in view of Sugar et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0028123 A1) and/or Sakashita et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,939,789) because these prior arts, either singularly or in combination, nowhere teach or suggest the combination of the above-underlined limitations. Therefore, the amended claim 1 is allowable over Vegt and Vegt in view of Sugar et al. and/or Sakashita

Appl. No. 10/709,447 Amdt. dated April 17, 2007 Reply to Office action of February 02, 2007

et al. As claims 2-4 are dependent upon claim 1, if claim 1 is found to be allowable, so to should the dependent claims.

Regarding claim 5, Applicant asserts that the amended claim 5 is patentable over Vegt or Vegt in view of Sugar et al. and/or Sakashita et al. because of the same reasons as discussed in claim 1. As claims 6-9 and 11-16 are dependent upon claim 5, if claim 6 is found to be allowable, so too should the dependent claims.

## **Conclusion:**

10

Thus, all pending claims are submitted to be in condition for allowance. The Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned if there are informalities that can be resolved in a phone conversation, or if the Examiner has any ideas or suggestions for further advancing the prosecution of this case.

15

Sincerely yours,

Wunton Han			
UVULLAND EJACO	Date:	04/17/2007	

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

20 P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562 Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 12 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 9 PM in Taiwan.)